



Meeting Notes

Project: MSAD 75 High School

Date: June 1, 2016

Attendees: Lyndon Keck/PDT, Alan Kuniholm/PDT, Kim Totten BC-SC, Brad Smith, Superintendent/MSAD #75, Donna Brunette, Principal/Mt. Ararat HS, David Johnson, Sr./BC-AC, Emily Robbins/Student Rep., Julie Booty/BC-CC, Jane Scease/MSAD #75 Board, Chris Shaw, BC/Director of Facilities, Michael Chonko/Building Committee, John Hodge/BC and Steve Dyer/BC

Purpose: Building Committee 19

These notes were taken by Lyndon Keck of his ability. Should you have any questions please notify us within three (3) working days.

Topic	Agenda/Notes	Action
	1. Meeting was called to order by John Hodge at 5:35 p.m.	
Meeting Minutes 5/04/2016	2. Meeting notes to the May 4, 2016 meeting were approved unanimously.	
Sub Committee Reports	<p>3. The following issues were discussed:</p> <p><u>Communications SC:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No meeting. <p><u>Technology SC:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No meeting. <p><u>Sustainability SC:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No meeting. <p><u>Athletics SC:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No meeting. Meeting is scheduled for June 7, 2014. <p><u>Fundraising SC:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No meeting. Meeting is scheduled for June 14, 2016 from 2:30 – 4:00 p.m. 	



Topic	Agenda/Notes	Action
	<p><u>Student Advisory SC:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A presentation was made by Emily. Students had numerous recommendations and suggestions, as well as a 24" x 30" notepad record of comments. Comments included suggestions for allowing drinks in the Learning Commons, comfortable furniture for lounging in the Learning Commons and various alcoves around the building, recommendations for new layouts for kitchen and serving of food to be more efficient, recommendations for exterior paths, crosswalks and parking, as well as numerous other recommendations. 	
	<p>4. Alan presented two new floor plan and site plan layouts: Scheme 10 and Scheme 11.</p>	
	<p>5. Scheme 11 made alterations based on Committee recommendations from two weeks ago. More specifically, the Committee had suggested rotating the previous Scheme 9 and flipping the gym and dining commons areas. Other Committee recommendations in May included alterations to the parking, creating more parking lots, consolidating student parking into one area and moving service to the west side of the building near the bus garage. Scheme 11 made almost all of these changes and resulting in a shorter service drive shared with the student parking lot. Scheme 11 had music and art rooms facing east.</p> <p>A question was asked about how future expansion would occur. It was recommended that expansion on this scheme would probably be via a third floor or by adding classrooms on the south side of the academic block.</p>	
	<p>6. Alan shared Scheme 10 which was referred to as the "Y" scheme. This scheme created a central hub with the Learning Commons, the Forum, dining commons, entry lobby and Special Education. It was noted that the future expansion could occur as a third wing directly off of the dining commons heading west toward the property boundary.</p>	
	<p>7. Scheme 10 had service on the west boundary near the bus garage. It had a larger student parking area.</p>	
	<p>8. The Committee asked if the nurse's area would have an easy access door directly to the outside for rolling emergency patients out to emergency vehicles.</p>	
	<p>9. The Committee recommended the teachers be asked for their opinion of Schemes 10 and 11.</p>	
	<p>10. There were questions raised about the art rooms and whether or not the location and orientation was correct. One Committee member noted that the art rooms would preferably be on the north facing the pond.</p>	



Meeting Notes

Topic	Agenda/Notes	Action
	11. Committee members liked having all of the student parking in one parking lot.	
	12. Committee members like the multiple, smaller community parking associated with the stadium.	
	13. A Committee member noted the trail systems needed to be clearly organized and designated. Also make sure snowmobile trails did not intermingle with pedestrian trails.	
	14. It was recommended that Donna and Lyndon speak with the science teachers to confirm the science room layouts, which are paired but not all grouped together in one location. Also talk with art teachers about proposed locations.	
	15. A question was asked about the collegiate model. Committee members reviewed the costs to add ten (10) additional classrooms. There was a question about how and when PDT would need to know whether the additional classrooms would be added. PDT responded they would need to have a “lockdown” plan within sixty (60) days so the answer would be sooner rather than later.	
	16. PDT noted that every high school they had designed in over thirty (30) years used the collegiate mode.	
	17. PDT was asked to bring a list of the teachers who would not be participating in the collegiate model and how many teachers were determined. PDT explained that Donna Brunette had made the count and determined there were 48-50 workstations which would be needed for teachers not assigned a permanent room.	
	18. The Committee voted unanimously in favor of Scheme 10 as the “go forward” diagram for further development.	
	19. Questions were asked of the business manager concerning the need to quantify additional impact on taxpayers for each \$1M of local cost that might be added to the project.	
	20. There was a request to have the latest plan made available for public comment.	
	21. There was a request to begin communication about the collegiate model and the impact it would have on teachers and students. There is a hope to get feedback from the public as to whether or not they think spending a \$2M local premium to build 8-10 extra classrooms is a priority investment.	



Meeting Notes

Topic	Agenda/Notes	Action
	22. There was note that there will be a school referendum vote on June 14, 2016. It would be good to have materials available for voters who could begin to analyze and think about Scheme 10 as well as the collegiate model.	
	23. There was a request by Committee members to consider having a public forum on August 3, 2016 with a final decision by the Committee on August 10, 2016 concerning the collegiate model concept.	
	24. A building committee meeting was tentatively scheduled for August 10, 2016.	
Next BC Meeting	25. The next Building Committee will be June 28, 2016 at 5:30 p.m. at the high school.	