



## Meeting Minutes

**Project:** MSAD 75 High School

**Date:** September 07, 2016

**Attendees:** MSAD 75 Building Committee – see attached sign-in  
Lyndon Keck, PDT Architects  
Kathy Cogan Kahill, PDT Architects

**Purpose:** Building Committee 23

Topic/Time	Agenda/Notes	Action
<b>2 Mins.</b>	1. Approval of Meeting Notes from 8/10/2016 - Minutes were approved.	
<b>10 Mins.</b>	2. Public Comment - None	
<b>Subcommittee Reports (10 Mins.)</b>	3. Updates from Subcommittee Meetings <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Communications               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brad sent out a list of FAQs to be supplemented by info from PDT</li> <li>• Reaching out to realtors to get more information out to the public and prospective buyers</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Technology               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meeting to review Learning Commons in the next two weeks</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Athletics               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Geoff presented athletic plan and prioritized unfunded costs list</li> <li>• The athletics subcommittee chose to prioritize the entire list rather than addressing fundraising vs. local share</li> <li>• Geoff then presented work done to date to accommodate all sport teams during construction                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Considered keeping kids as close to site as possible with the least amount of travel required</li> <li>◦ Chose 3 potential practice sites and 3 potential competition / game sites for each sport</li> <li>◦ Transportation schedule (late bus) can be adjusted to meet practice travel times and routes</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Lyndon presented a preliminary construction schedule that indicates when various fields would be decommissioned and for how long</li> <li>• Chris asked if we had discussed costs of moving existing buildings with the DOE; We are proceeding in asking the DOE to participate in the cost of moving buildings and a new concession and toilet building.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	



## Meeting Minutes

Topic/Time	Agenda/Notes	Action
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Sustainability &amp; Building Systems               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meeting scheduled for 9/12</li> <li>• Plan to prioritize list for recommendation to the building committee on 9/14</li> <li>• Will also review energy model data at 9/12 meeting</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Student Advisory               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No meeting yet</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Fundraising               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Working to lay groundwork for when they know what items they will be fundraising for</li> <li>• Reminded Building Committee that nothing is guaranteed with regards to amount or timing, so as much of the desired costs should go into the local share as possible</li> <li>• There was discussion regarding the full range of donation participation available for donors                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ The School Board wants donor recognition to be integrated into the design as much as possible in public spaces.</li> <li>◦ Committee requested that PDT think of some examples and share photos with the Committee.</li> <li>◦ Kim suggested that the School Board might want to reconsider advertising possibilities on scoreboards etc.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<b>October Public Meetings (15 min.)</b>	4. Schedule Public Hearings for October in each of four towns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Brad will get dates available from the various schools and towns for consideration at next week's meeting.</li> </ul>	
<b>Prioritize Unfunded Project Costs (30 Mins.)</b>	5. Review revised matrix <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- PDT presented data collected from the public meetings, stipulating that although not scientific – and representative of people who are generally in support of the project – the data mostly aligns with the Building Committee's assessment of the unfunded costs.</li> <li>- It was noted that the additional classrooms has been reduced to 8 from 10 and that this increases the total classroom count by 25%.</li> </ul> 6. There was further discussion of the Athletics Subcommittee prioritized list <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It was noted that the concerns regarding crumb rubber could be contributing to a low support of the turf field.</li> <li>- More data will be available from a federally funded study in early 2017</li> <li>- Crumb rubber lasts +/-15 years and any potential concerns about replacement costs can be addressed with diverted maintenance funds to a long term replacement fund – maybe – if policy allows</li> <li>- Kim expressed concern with pop-up sprinkler systems</li> </ul>	



## Meeting Minutes

Topic/Time	Agenda/Notes	Action
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There is an emphasis on community use for many of the items – increased playing time on a turf field, additional gym space, etc.</li> <li>- Brad Totten offered his services for moving the out buildings for consideration.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Review Building Plans (30 min.)</b></p>	<p>7. Review overall building plans</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Kathy reviewed the major changes in the plan since the last meeting</li> </ul> <p>8. Focus on public spaces – south wing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There was discussion of the overall building, entry location, and access to public community spaces.</li> <li>- It was requested that we include additional bleachers in the accessory gym along the west wall (+/- 3 rows at 100' long) for graduates during graduation. These would be locally funded</li> <li>- There were questions regarding segregating the building during after hours use for security</li> <li>- There was a question asked about the % For Art program               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a \$50,000 maximum for what the state will contribute to art for the project</li> <li>• After referendum, a committee is formed with state mandated members to solicit and review proposals from artists for the project.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<p><b>10 min.</b></p>	<p>9. Limited Question and Answer</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A question was raised about a letter that had been sent by a teacher to Donna. It was clarified that Donna will review the letter and present the information to the Building Committee once she's had a chance to read it herself.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>OTHER ITEMS</b></p>	<p>10. PDT reviewed meeting with DOE earlier that day</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Scott raised some questions about other locally funded items such as coaches rooms and concessions. PDT reviewed what had been done on previous projects. DOE often participates at some level in these spaces. So we will continue to negotiate with them.</li> </ul>	
	<p>Upcoming Meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Building Committee: September 14, 2016</li> <li>MTA HS Learning Commons 5:30 – 7:30 PM</li> </ul>	